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Schizophrenia is a chronic remitting and relapsing disorder characterized by a wide range of psychological, behavioral and cognitive symptoms. Over more than half a century, dozens of antipsychotics have been developed and approved for the treatment of schizophrenia. In 1987, Kay, Fishbein, and Opler introduced the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) as an “operationalized, drug-sensitive instrument that provides balanced representation of positive and negative symptoms and gauges their relationship to one another and to global psychopathology.” Since its introduction, the PANSS has been the most widely used measure of efficacy in clinical trials in patients with schizophrenia. The original validation article has been cited in more than 14,000 published articles.

The PANSS was developed by combining items from the Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale and the Psychopathology Rating Schedule, and enhancing the psychometric properties of the resulting instrument by clearly operationalizing item definitions and severity anchor points. The aim was to provide a reliable and valid measure of the two-factor (positive and negative) classification of schizophrenic symptoms that had been proposed by Crow in 1980. The reliability and validity of the PANSS as a measure of outcome was demonstrated for the original positive, negative, and general psychopathology subscales.

In the decade after its introduction, it became clear that the two-factor model of psychopathology (or three-factor, including general psychopathology) was not optimal to fully characterize key symptom dimensions of schizophrenia or outcome in response to treatment. Factor and principal component analyses of the PANSS have consistently identified five factors that map to the diagnostic criteria of positive symptoms, negative symptoms, disinorganized thinking, and the associated symptoms of hostility/excitement and depression/anxiety. For the past two decades, the PANSS total score and the five so-called “Marder Factor” scores have been standard metrics for assessing efficacy in schizophrenia clinical trials.

PANSS FACTORS AND ASSESSMENT OF EFFICACY: THE CHALLENGE OF PSEUDOSPECIFICITY

For the standard (Marder) PANSS factors at baseline, the shared variance between
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The Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) is the most widely used efficacy measure in acute treatment studies of schizophrenia. However, interpretation of the efficacy of antipsychotics in improving specific symptom domains is confounded by moderate-to-high correlations among standard (Marder) PANSS factors. The authors review the results of an uncorrelated PANSS score matrix (UPSM) transform designed to reduce pseudospecificity in assessment of symptom change in patients with schizophrenia. Based on a factor analysis of five pooled, placebo-controlled lurasidone clinical trials (N=1,710 patients), a UPSM transform was identified that generated PANSS factors with high face validity (good correlation with standard Marder PANSS factors), and high specificity/orthogonality (low levels of between-factor correlation measuring change during treatment). Between-factor correlations were low at baseline for both standard (Marder) PANSS factors and transformed PANSS factors. However, when measured change in symptom severity was measured during treatment (in a pooled 5-study analysis), there was a notable difference for standard PANSS factors, where changes across factors were found to be highly correlated (factors exhibited pseudospecificity), compared to transformed PANSS factors, where factor change scores exhibited the same low levels of between-factor correlation observed at baseline. At Week 6-endpoint, correlations among PANSS factor severity scores were moderate-to-high for standard factors (0.34–0.68), but continued to be low for the transformed factors (-0.22–0.20). As an additional validity check, we analyzed data from one of the original five pooled clinical trials that included other well-validated assessment scales (MADRS, Negative Symptom Assessment Scale [NSA]). In this baseline analysis, UPSM-transformed PANSS factor severity scores (negative and depression factors) were found to correlate well with the MADRS and NSA. The availability of transformed PANSS factors with a high degree of orthogonality/specificity, but which retain a high degree of concurrent and face validity, can reduce pseudospecificity as a measurement confound, and should facilitate the drug development process, permitting a more accurate characterization of the efficacy of putative new agents in targeting specific symptom domains in patients with psychotic illness.
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REVIEW
positive and negative symptom severity scores is relatively low, indicating a degree of separation in the underlying neurobiological substrate. This finding is consistent with evidence that the neurobiological correlates of positive symptoms are largely different from those that underlie negative symptoms.\textsuperscript{11–13} In contrast, the standard (Marder) PANSS factor change scores have been found to be highly correlated with each other. Especially notable is the high degree of correlation between the PANSS positive factor and other Marder factors. We have confirmed this finding in a previous pooled analysis of PANSS data derived from five double-blind, placebo-controlled studies of lurasidone for the treatment of patients with an acute exacerbation of schizophrenia.\textsuperscript{14} Moderate-to-high correlations were observed between improvements in standard (Marder) PANSS positive and other PANSS factors, ranging from $r=0.52$ to $r=0.74$. Correlations of this magnitude represent a major confound that makes interpretation of treatment-related improvement in individual Marder factors challenging. As a result, improvement in symptom severity in one of the Marder factors might not represent a treatment effect on a specific symptom domain, but instead might simply be a nonspecific effect secondary to improvement in PANSS items that are highly correlated with the PANSS positive factor.

The high degree of between-factor correlation among Marder PANSS factors has been characterized as an example of pseudospecificity. Pseudospecificity is the term, originally promulgated by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA), to describe potential pharmacologic symptom targets that are too highly correlated (overlapping) in terms of phenomenology and measured treatment response to justify separate drug treatment claims.

**POSSIBLE SOLUTIONS TO THE PROBLEM OF PSEUDOSPECIFICITY**

There are two possible approaches that can be used to address the measurement issue that is central to pseudospecificity, both of which have advantages. One approach is to direct research efforts toward the development and validation of new, domain-specific, instruments with minimal-to-no correlation with other outcome domains. Examples of this approach include instruments such as the Negative Symptom Assessment (NSA) Scale and the MATRICS Consensus Cognitive Battery (which measures cognitive function). However, to our knowledge, evidence has not been presented demonstrating that instruments (such as the NSA) have low levels of correlation with PANSS positive (and other) factors in patients experiencing an acute exacerbation of schizophrenia.\textsuperscript{14} A second approach would retain the PANSS as an efficacy measure while using analytic strategies to minimize the degree of between-factor correlation. The advantage of this approach is that it is a cost-effective strategy that would permit acquisition of domain-specific efficacy data using required acute registration trials without the need to conduct, for example, a separate negative symptom trial. In addition, validation of modified PANSS factors with low between-factor correlation would facilitate study replication while preserving decades of treatment research with dozens of agents, many with distinctive receptor binding profiles, that could be retrospectively analyzed for domain-specific efficacy.

We briefly summarize here recently reported results of an uncorrelated PANSS score matrix (UPSM) transform designed to reduce pseudospecificity in the assessment of symptom change in patients with schizophrenia.\textsuperscript{14} We also report new results that assess whether the UPSM transform can also be applied directly to PANSS severity scores at baseline.

**TRANSFORMED PANSS FACTORS: LOW BETWEEN-FACTOR CORRELATION**

In our original endpoint change score analysis of five pooled clinical trials,\textsuperscript{14} transformed PANSS factors exhibited markedly reduced between-factor correlations when compared to the between-factor correlations observed for the standard (Marder) PANSS factors. Pearson’s between-factor correlations ranged from 0.40 to 0.74 for endpoint change in the standard (Marder) PANSS factors, and from -0.01 to 0.27 in the transformed PANSS factors (with 0.27 being the correlation between the anxiety and depression subfactors). The low levels of between-factor correlation among the transformed PANSS factors suggest that these reconfigured factors are orthogonal to each other and are successfully measuring the effect of treatment with a high degree of specificity.

**TRANSFORMED PANSS FACTORS: LURASIDONE VS. PLACEBO EFFECT SIZES**

In an additional analysis of the five pooled clinical trials,\textsuperscript{14} effect size estimates for endpoint change (lurasidone vs. placebo) were calculated using both standard (Marder) PANSS factors, and transformed PANSS factors. Effect size estimates exhibited a relatively consistent pattern across the standard (Marder) factors, ranging from 0.31 to 0.44. In contrast, greater between-factor heterogeneity was observed in effect size estimates using the transformed PANSS factors, ranging from 0.05 to 0.27, with greater lurasidone effects observed on positive and hostility symptoms, and smaller drug effects on disorganized, negative apathy/avolition, deficit of expression, and anxiety/depression symptoms.

**TRANSFORMED PANSS FACTORS: CROSS-STUDY VALIDATION**

In a validation analysis using 12 separate clinical trials,\textsuperscript{14} we confirmed that the
weighted UPSM coefficients had generalizable utility, yielding transformed PANSS factors with high specificity while retaining good levels of correlation with standard PANSS factors.

APPLICATION OF UPSM TRANSFORM TO PANSS SEVERITY SCORES AT BASELINE

In an analysis applying the UPSM PANSS factor transform to pooled baseline five study data, UPSM transformed PANSS factor scores at baseline were found to correlate well with their respective standard (Marder) PANSS factor scores at baseline, with Pearson’s correlations among PANSS severity scores at Week 6 endpoint ranging from 0.34 to 0.68. In contrast, correlations among transformed PANSS factors were notably lower (-0.22–0.20, excluding correlations between negative subscores and depression and anxiety subscores).

Between-factor correlations for both standard (Marder) PANSS factors and transformed PANSS factors were low at baseline. For example, the correlation between Marder PANSS positive and negative factors was -0.03 at baseline. Inverse correlations were noted for transformed PANSS positive and negative factors (-0.18 for negative-apathy/avolition; -0.26 for negative-deficit of expression).

The concurrent validity of selected standard and transformed PANSS factor severity scores at baseline was assessed based on Pearson’s correlations. The correlation at baseline between MADRS total score and transformed PANSS depression severity score showed high levels of correlation with the respective standard (Marder) PANSS factors, with correlations ranging from 0.73 to 0.94 (Table 2). Transformed PANSS factor severity scores at Week 6 also showed moderate levels of correlation between the NSA total score and the transformed PANSS depression subscore (0.66) and the negative deficit of expression subscore (0.49). Similarly, the transformed PANSS factor depression severity score showed moderate levels of correlation with the MADRS total score (0.63, Table 2).

PERSPECTIVE

We have briefly summarized here a factor analytic procedure that generated weighted coefficients which, when applied to each of the 30 PANSS items utilized in schizophrenia clinical trials, yields transformed PANSS factors that meet four important criteria: 1) they have high face validity (i.e., they exhibit medium-to-large correlations with the standard (Marder) PANSS factors, and thus appear to be measuring the same clinical symptom...
domains in schizophrenia; 2) they have high orthogonality, and, thus, because of the low level of between-factor correlation, they are measuring the effect of a drug on a symptom domain with a high degree of specificity; 3) they exhibit minimal loss of information (i.e., after the UPSM transformation, >90% of information variance was retained, with high R-squared values between sums of the transformed PANSS factors and PANSS total score); and 4) the weighted UPSM coefficients have generalizable utility, and, thus, can be applied to PANSS data in a wide range of clinical trials to generate transformed PANSS factors with the same clinimetric properties as the original transformed PANSS factors.

Effect size estimates for endpoint change (lurasidone vs. placebo) were notably different when calculated using the standard (Marder) PANSS factors and the transformed PANSS factors. The uniformity of effect sizes calculated using the Marder PANSS factors reflects the high level of between-factor correlation, particularly for the PANSS positive factor (range: r = 0.52–0.74). This level of between-factor correlation contributes to the likely overestimate of the effect of treatment on many of the PANSS factors. The effect size profile of lurasidone, when estimated using transformed PANSS factors, would appear to provide a more valid measure of the efficacy of lurasidone in treating the key clinical symptoms domains of schizophrenia. An important next step will be to replicate these results for other antipsychotic agents, both first and second generation.

The results of the UPSM analysis of baseline and Week 6 (on treatment) data confirm and extend our previously reported 14 UPSM change score analysis. At baseline, standard (Marder) PANSS factor severity scores and transformed PANSS factor severity scores both exhibited low levels of between-factor correlation, suggesting that each factor is measuring a separate clinical symptom domain. However, when measuring the effect of treatment, standard (Marder) PANSS factor change scores exhibited moderate between-factor correlations, indicative of pseudospecificity, while transformed PANSS changes scores exhibited ergodicity, since they continue to show the same domain-independent factor structure that was observed at baseline. Thus, the UPSM transform yields results that support the notion that there is a consistent underlying schizophrenia symptom structure present in both exacerbated and stable (treated) states.

Previously, we showed that the transformed PANSS factor change scores were highly correlated with their respective standard (Marder) PANSS factor change scores, 14 indicating that the transformed PANSS factors are measuring the same symptom domains as the Marder factors. In the new analyses reported here, we have shown that transformed PANSS factor scores were highly correlated with their respective standard (Marder) PANSS factor scores at baseline. In addition, we have summarized analyses from a single study where MADRS and NSA assessments were available that provide preliminary evidence of concurrent validity between these measures and the transformed PANSS depression and negative symptom subscores, respectively.

**CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS**

For more than a quarter of a century, the PANSS has been the gold standard measure of efficacy for clinical trials in schizophrenia. However, the high degree of correlation among Marder PANSS factors has proved to be its Achilles heel, preventing clinicians, researchers, and regulatory agencies alike from clearly determining whether improvement in (for example) the PANSS negative factor is a targeted drug effect or whether (and to what extent) negative symptom improvement might reflect improvement in a (correlated) PANSS factors.

The term pseudospecificity was coined to describe improvement in a symptom domain that is highly correlated (overlapping) with a separate outcome domain in terms of phenomenology and measured treatment response. We view the current UPSM procedure for generating transformed PANSS factors as a means to generate reliable signals of drug effect across symptom domains in acute treatment trials of patients with schizophrenia. In an acute trial involving exacerbated patients, demonstration of improvement in, for example, the transformed negative symptom factor might be viewed as a more reliable indicator of specific treatment effect than change in the standard PANSS negative symptom subscale. Such signal-generating results may then be used to support confirmatory studies or could, in some cases, be potentially used for labelling without the need for additional studies.

In addition, the availability of transformed PANSS factors with a high degree of orthogonality/specificity should help clinicians to have a better understanding of the structure of symptom change in schizophrenia and the profile of treatment effects across schizophrenia symptom domains. Thus, reanalysis of existing clinical trials data sets using transformed PANSS factors could provide important insights into the effectiveness of existing antipsychotic agents for the treatment of specific symptom domains in patients with schizophrenia.
CONCLUSION

Looking forward, the availability of transformed PANSS factors should provide a more valid method for measuring domain-specific effects of candidate antipsychotics, especially candidate drugs with non-D₂ receptor binding mechanisms of action. It is hoped that the availability of valid measures of symptom change, without the confound of pseudospecificity, might facilitate the drug development process, permitting a more accurate characterization of the efficacy of putative new agents in targeting specific symptom domains in patients with psychotic illness.
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